

Northeast Oklahoma Continuum of Care (OK-505)
COC Funding Application Rating and Review Procedures
for HUD COC and Emergency Solutions Grant Programs

Northeast Oklahoma Continuum of Care adopts the following procedures for the evaluation, scoring and ranking of funding applications submitted to the COC for consideration under the HUD COC Program and the Emergency Solutions Grants. These procedures are adopted as part of the COC Governance Charter and the COC's Written Procedures and Standards for the COC and Emergency Solutions Grant Programs. Procedures to be used for the evaluation, scoring and ranking of project funding applications are as follows:

1. HUD COC Program Funding Applications:

- A. **Notice of Funding Availability:** When the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) is published, Collaborative Applicant staff will send a notice to all COC partners and stakeholders, notifying them of the availability of funding and the publication of the NOFA. This notice will be sent via e-mail notice and will be publicly announced at full COC partnership meetings. Notice may also be posted on the Collaborative Applicant's website. This announcement will contain information regarding the availability of funding for both renewal projects and new projects. Partners and stakeholders will be provided with links to training materials and information regarding funding application processes provided by HUD. New project applicants will submit a summary funding application for consideration so the COC can evaluate all potential new funding applications to ensure they are appropriate and that the total of the funding requests will fit within funding amounts and parameters. If more funding requests for new projects are submitted than can be supported by available funding, contact will be made with interested applicants to negotiate funding amount requests in an attempt to fund the broadest spectrum of projects possible within the funding amounts available. All renewal and new project funding applications must be prepared and submitted via e-snaps in accordance with HUD instructions and guidelines.
- B. **Project Funding Application Evaluation, Scoring and Ranking:** The COC has adopted the HUD COC Program Rating and Ranking Tool version 3.1 to be used for all project funding application evaluation and scoring based on HUD priorities, goals and scoring criteria contained in the NOFA (copy attached as attachment A). This Rating and Ranking tool will be evaluated annually and revised to reflect the current priorities, goals and scoring criteria as set forth in the NOFA and this document will be amended annually with the most current Rating and Ranking tool. These COC funding Application Rating and Review Procedures, along with the COC Program Rating and Ranking tool will be published annually on the Collaborative Applicant's website in accordance with HUD requirements so that they are available for review by the full COC partnership and stakeholders via e-mail and an announcement regarding the publication will be made at a full COC partnership meeting. The Collaborative Applicant's e-snaps Authorized Representative, charged with preparation of the Collaborative Application for the COC will retrieve all project funding applications from e-snaps and will obtain copies of the most recent Annual Performance Reports (APR) for all renewal projects. The representative will evaluate all projects utilizing project applications and APR data (for renewal projects) and record scores on the COC Rating and Ranking tool as appropriate.

The representative will then distribute copies of the COC Program Rating and Ranking tool, pertinent sections of the funding application and pertinent sections of APRs to the COC Monitoring and Evaluation Committee, which will review the applications, APRs, the Rating and Ranking tool and prepare a recommendation to the full COC partnership regarding the scoring and ranking of all funding applications. The Monitoring and Evaluation Committee may decide to recommend the ranking and prioritization as presented or modify the ranking based on COC need, populations served or other factors based on HUD or COC priorities, goals or criteria. The Monitoring and Evaluation Committee will present its recommendations for a vote of the full COC Partnership which may adopt the recommended scoring and ranking or modify the committee's recommendation based on COC need, populations served or other factors based on HUD or COC priorities, goals or criteria. Following adoption of the project ranking and completion of the Collaborative Application, the full Collaborative Application and the project ranking listing will be published on the Collaborative Applicant's website, along with a copy of the meeting minutes approving the project ranking in accordance with HUD guidelines. All project applicants will receive written communication regarding the acceptance or rejection of their project applications in accordance with HUD requirements in place at the time.

2. Emergency Solutions Grant Program Funding Applications:

- A. Notice of Funding Availability:** When the Oklahoma Department of Commerce (ODOC) releases the Request for Funding Applications (RFA) and the COC allocation amount for the Emergency Solutions Grant Program (ESG), Collaborative Applicant staff will send a notice to all COC partners and stakeholders, notifying them of the availability of funding and the publication of the RFA. This notice will be sent via e-mail notice and will be publicly announced at full COC partnership meetings. Partners and stakeholders will be provided with links to training materials and information regarding funding application processes provided by ODOC. The COC will review the COC's ESG allocation amount and will establish appropriate funding limits for project applications based on COC need and to provide the broadest possible funding distribution while still providing adequate funding levels to allow project operation. Project funding applications must be prepared and submitted in OK Grants in accordance with instructions and guidelines established by ODOC in the RFA.
- B. Project Funding Application Evaluation, Scoring and Ranking:** If the COC has the option to decide review and scoring processes for the funding applications, it will decide annually whether it desires to review and score ESG funding applications submitted by applicants from within the COC or if it desires to have another COC review and score those applications, unless ODOC establishes other review and scoring processes. In the event the COC has the choice and desires to score applications from applicants within the COC, the members appointed to the Monitoring and Evaluation Committee to review and score the applications will be representatives of agencies not submitting funding applications to avoid any potential conflict of interest. Scoring of applications will be completed in OK Grants by assigned reviewers in accordance with RFA criteria using score sheets developed by ODOC. Collaborative Applicant staff authorized by ODOC will retrieve completed funding applications and scoresheets and distribute them to Monitoring and Evaluation Committee members, along with a summary spreadsheet containing the results of the scoring and a project ranking based on those scores. The committee will review the funding applications and the scoresheets and prepare a recommendation to the full COC partnership. The

committee may decide to approve or to modify the project ranking based on COC need. The full COC partnership will consider the committee's recommendation and may approve or modify the recommendation based on COC need. Recommendation regarding project ranking and funding will be sent to ODOC according to that agency's instructions. Notification regarding funding of projects will be announced to all COC partners and stakeholders via e-mail and through announcement at a full COC partnership meeting and all applicants will be given written notification regarding the selection or rejection of their funding application.

Adopted this 23rd day of August, 2018 at a regular meeting of the full NE OK COC Partnership.



Signature of Board Chair

Amended 8/23/2018 with new HUD COC Rating and Ranking tool and references to HUD COC Rating and Ranking tool instead of scorecards.

NAVIGATION

- GO** Customize Threshold Requirements
- GO** Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
- GO** Customize New Project Rating Tool

CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS**CoC Threshold Requirements***(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)*

- Coordinated Entry Participation
 - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
 - Documented, secured minimum match
 - Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally
 - Project is financially feasible
 - Applicant is active CoC participant
 - Application is complete and data are consistent
 - Data quality at or above 90%
 - Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%
 - Acceptable organizational audit/financial review
 - Documented organizational financial stability
- (The first five requirements are process either as Threshold Requirements or as part of the CoC application process.)*

CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL*Using the drop-down menu on the left customize rating factors for each project type or delete the type to view all factors at once.**Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.***Performance Measures**

| Factor/Goal | Max Point Value |
|--|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Length of Stay PSH - On average, participants stay in project XX days | <input type="text" value="180"/> days <input type="text" value="20"/> points |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exits to Permanent Housing PSH - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing | <input type="text" value="80"/> % <input type="text" value="25"/> points |

Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)

PSH - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Minimum new or increased earned income for project stayers <input type="checkbox"/> PSH - Minimum new or increased non-employment income for project stayers <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Minimum new or increased earned income for project leavers <input type="checkbox"/> PSH - Minimum new or increased non-employment income for project leavers | >30% % 2.5 points |
| Serve High Need Populations (select from drop-down menu) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project focuses on chronically homeless people <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - XX% of participants are chronically homeless | >51% % 2.5 points |
| Project Effectiveness | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit as defined locally <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures | Yes % 2.0 points |
| Other and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CoC Monitoring Score <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Supportive Services funding <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Planned Cost Per Bed <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Planned Cost per Participant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Planned Beds Dedicated to Veterans <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Actual cost per bed from APR <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Actual Cost Per Participant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH - Actual Bed Utilization Rate from APR | Yes % 10 points <25% 5 points 0-\$2,000 3 points 0-\$2,000 3 points Yes 0-\$2,000 3 points 0-\$2,000 3 points 95-100% 3 points |

| | |
|---------------------|------------|
| Total Maximum Score | 100 points |
| RRH projects: | 100 points |
| PSH projects: | 102 points |
| TH projects: | 120 points |

CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL**Experience**

| Factor/Goal | Max Point Vali |
|---|-----------------------|
| A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application. | 15 points |
| B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases. | 10 points |
| C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants. | 5 points |
| Design of Housing & Supportive Services | |
| A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks. | 15 points |
| X B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs. | 5 points |
| X C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently. | 5 points |
| Timeliness | |
| X A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. | 10 points |
| Financial | |
| X A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. | 5 points |
| B. Organization's most recent audit: | |
| X 1. Found no exceptions to standard practices | 5 points |
| X 2. Identified agency as low risk | 5 points |
| X 3. Indicates no findings | 5 points |

- c. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.
 d. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.

Project Effectiveness

- Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals

Other and Local Criteria

- Supportive Service funds used
- Leverage funds
- Planned Cost Per Bed
- Percentage of Bed Dedicated to CH
- Planned Cost per Participant
- Target Vulnerable Population
- Beds Dedicated to Veterans?

Total Maximum Score

All projects:  150 points

RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

Comments: Projects will be reviewed for the duration of the Act.

Renewal/Expansion Projects
Threshold Review Complete

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborates with applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.

2. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.

3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debt - It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3301(c), that applicants with outstanding delinquent Federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds, unless:

- (a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent; or
- (b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.

4. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2024, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.

5. Discharge of any violations of Federal criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or brutality violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR 5300.330. Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.

6. Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.

7. Demonstrated the population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act and project application clearly establishes eligibility of project applicants. This includes any additional eligibility criteria for certain types of projects contained in the NOFA.

8. Agreed to Participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UPA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the Federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with Federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney-client privilege.

6. Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.

9. Met HUD Expectations - When considering renewal projects for award, HUD will review information in eLOCSS; Annual Performance Reports (APR); and information provided from the local HUD CPO Field Office, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, and performance standards using the following performance standards in relation to the project's prior grants:
 - (a) Whether the project applicant's performance met the plans and goals established in the initial application, as amended;
 - (b) Whether the project applicant demonstrated all timeliness standards for grants being renewed, including those standards for the expenditure of grant funds that have been met;
 - (c) The project applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and records of success, except HMIS-dedicated projects that are not required to meet this standard; and,
 - (d) Whether there is evidence that a project applicant has been unwilling to accept technical assistance, has a history of inadequate financial accounting practices, has indications of project mismanagement, has a history of non-compliance with applicable laws and regulations, or has a history of non-compliance with applicable contract terms and conditions.

10. Met HUD Financial Expectations - If a project applicant has previously received HUD grants, the organization must have demonstrated its ability to meet HUD's financial expectations.
 - (a) Outstanding obligation to HUD that is in arrears or for which a payment schedule has not been agreed upon;

RENEWAL EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

GIGANTIC + MAMMOTH

| RENEWAL EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS | | | |
|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|----|
| Project Name: _____ | Organization Name: _____ | | |
| Project Type: _____ | Project Identifier: _____ | | |
| <p><i>Completed projects will be removed to the bottom of this list.</i></p> <p>Renewal Expansion Projects</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Threshold Review Complete</td> <td>0%</td> </tr> </table> | | Threshold Review Complete | 0% |
| Threshold Review Complete | 0% | | |
| <p><i>To add your project to this list, please do one of the following: click the "Add Project" button, or click on the "Edit" link for a project you are reviewing.</i></p> | | | |
| <p>THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS</p> <p>(a) Audit findings for which a response is overdue or unsatisfactory:</p> <p>(b) History of inadequate financial management/accounting practices:</p> <p>(c) Evidence of untimely expenditures on prior award:</p> <p>(d) History of other major capacity issues that have significantly affected the operation of the project and its performance:</p> <p>(e) History of not reimbursing subrecipients for eligible costs in a timely manner, or at least quarterly; and</p> <p>(f) History of serving ineligible program participants, expending funds on ineligible costs, or failing to expend funds within statutorily established timeframes.</p> <p>11. Demonstrated Project Consistency with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.</p> | | | |
| <p>COORDINATED ENTRY REQUIREMENTS</p> <p><i>This section requires the CoC to demonstrate that the project will meet the requirements that local housing offices are adopting by the CoC's own requirement window from HUD. Otherwise indicate "No."</i></p> <p>Coordinated Entry Participation:</p> <p>Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing unit, as defined locally.</p> <p>Documented, secured minimum match.</p> <p>Project is financially feasible.</p> <p>Applicant is active CoC participant.</p> <p>Application is complete and data are consistent.</p> <p>Data quality is at or above 90%.</p> <p>Acceptable organizational audit/financial review.</p> <p>Documentation of financial stability.</p> | | | |

Appendix A

| RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Project Name: _____ | Print Blank Template | Print Report Card | |
| Organization Name: _____ | Renewal/Expansion Projects | | |
| Project Type: _____ | Rating complete | | |
| Project Identifier: _____ | _____ | | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 0% <input type="checkbox"/> Instructions on Awarding Points | | | |
| RATING FACTOR | PERFORMANCE GOAL | POINTS AWARDED | MAX POINT VALUE |
| PERFORMANCE MEASURES | | | |
| Length of Stay | | | |
| Exits to Permanent Housing | | | |
| Returns to Homelessness | | | |
| New or Increased Income and Earned Income | | | |
| Performance Measures Subtotal | | | |
| SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS | | 0 | out of 0 |
| Serve High Need Populations Subtotal | | | |
| Project Effectiveness Subtotal | | | |
| Project Effectiveness | | | |
| COC Monitoring Score | | | |
| Project is operating in conformance to COC standards | | | |
| Other and Local Criteria Subtotal | | | |
| TOTAL SCORE | | | |
| Weighted Rating Score | | | |
| PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION | | | |
| NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab | | | |
| \$ _____ | | | |
| Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city) | | | |
| \$ _____ | | | |
| Amount of private funding | | | |
| \$ _____ | | | |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | | |
| \$ _____ | | | |
| COC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year | | | |
| \$ _____ | | | |
| COC Amount Expended Last Operating Year | | | |
| \$ _____ | | | |
| Percent of COC funding expended last operating year | | | |
| _____ % | | | |

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Completing this section will be the minimum for the inclusion of this list.

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

HUD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information.

2. Applicant has Valid DUNS number in application.

3. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debt- It's HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 26 U.S.C. 32001(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless:

(a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent; or

(b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD.

4. Applicant has no Delinquencies and/or Suspensions - In accordance with 2 CFR 2024, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal Government.

5. Applicant has Accounting System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received federal financial assistance or where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.

6. Disclosed any violations of Federal Criminal law - Applicants must disclose in a timely manner, in writing to HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or statutory violations potentially affecting the Federal award. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in 2 CFR 5200.335. Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. This mandatory disclosure requirement also applies to subrecipients of HUD funds who must disclose to the pass-through entity from which it receives HUD funds.

7. Demonstrated they are Eligible Project Applicants - Eligible project applicants for the CoC Program Competition are under 24 CFR 578.15, nonprofit organizations, States, local governments, and instrumentalities of State and local governments, Public housing agencies, as such term is defined in 24 CFR 5.100, are eligible without limitation or exclusion. Neither for-profit entities nor Indian tribes are eligible to apply for grants or to be subrecipients of grant funds.

8. Submitted the required certifications as specified in the NOFA.

9. Demonstrated the project is cost-effective, including costs of construction, operations, and supportive services with such costs not deviating substantially from the norm in that locale for the type of structure or kind of activity.

10. Demonstrated they Participate in HMIS - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, must agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient must not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers must use a comparable database that complies with the federal HMIS data and technical standards. While not prohibited from using HMIS, legal services providers may use a comparable database that complies with federal HMIS data and technical standards, if deemed necessary to protect attorney-client privilege.

11. Demonstrated Project Meets Minimum Project Standards - HUD will assess all new projects for the following minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards. Please note that these are minimum threshold criteria. CoCs and project applicants should carefully review each year's NOFA to ensure they understand and have accounted for all applicable standards. To be considered as meeting project quality threshold, all new projects must meet all of the following criteria:

(a) Project applicants and potential subrecipients must have satisfactory capacity, drawdowns, and performance for existing grant(s) that are funded under the SVP, S+C, or CoC Programs, as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients, regular drawdowns, and timely resolution of any monitoring findings.

If you encounter this box during the project type, please see the following link for more information: [http://www.hud.gov/offices/program-administration/procurement/procurement-processes-and-procedures/nofa/nofa-processes-for-new-programs](#).

Yes to All

YES/NO

YES

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

Comments about projects will be entered for the duration of the act.

New Projects

Threshold Review Complete
0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

If you would like to change the project type, location, etc. go to the HAC and re-enter the data to the below HAC. Click the link on the left to ADD PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.

YES/NO

(b) For expansion projects, project applicants must clearly articulate the part of the project that is being expanded. Additionally, the project applicants must clearly demonstrate that they are not replacing other funding sources; and,

(c) Project applicants must demonstrate they will be able to meet all time/less standards per 24 CFR 578.85. Project applicants with existing projects must demonstrate that they have met all project renewal threshold requirements of this MOFA. HUD reserves the right to deny the funding request for a new project, if the request is made by an existing recipient that HUD finds to have significant issues related to capacity, performance, unresolved audit or monitoring finding related to one or more existing grants, or does not routinely draw down funds from eDOCS at least once per quarter. Additionally, HUD reserves the right to withdraw funds if no APR is submitted on the prior grant.

12. Demonstrated Project is Consistent with Jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s) - All projects must be consistent with the relevant jurisdictional Consolidated Plan(s). The CoC will be required to submit a Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan at the time of application submission to HUD.

COORDINATED REQUIREMENTS

For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, for known given an exception by the CoC, or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".

- Coordinated Entry Participation
- Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
- Documented, secured minimum match
- Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing unit, as defined locally
- Project is financially feasible
- Applicant is active CoC participant
- Application is complete and data are consistent
- Data quality at or above 90%
- Acceptable organizational audit/financial review
- Documentation of substantial financial stability

Appendix A

NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Comments from the project manager will be included in the submission of this file.

Project Name: _____

Organization Name: _____

Project Type: _____

Project Identifier: _____

New Projects

Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

If you would like the threshold requirements included in the New Projects and Threshold Review Complete boxes to be included in the RFP bid document, or if you do not wish for this information to be included, check the box below.

YES/NO

| New Projects Rating Tool | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Project Name | Project Start Date | Project Status | Project Type | Project Identifier | Project Description |
| Organization Name | | | | | |
| Project Name | | | | | |
| Project Identifier | | | | | |
| Section I: Project Overview | | | | | |
| <p>A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipient(s) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.</p> <p>B. Describe experience with utilizing a housing first approach. Include (1) experience, (2) process for securing new clients, (3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no programmatic restrictions, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal record (with exception of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), mental status, functional status, sexual or gender-based orientation, gender identity. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project existence to ensure that project participants are terminated in only the most severe cases.</p> <p>C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including both grants and other public funding, including additional drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subgrants (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.</p> | | | | | |
| Section II: Program Components | | | | | |
| <p>A. Describe to which the applicant:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2. Demonstrates type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3. Demonstrates type and location of the supportive services, regardless of funding source, meet the needs of the clients to be served. 4. Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining and coordinating the provision of mainstream benefits. 5. Establishes performance measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable, and meet or exceed any established HHS, HUD/HUD or CDFI benchmarks. <p>B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and appropriate to their needs.</p> <p>C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to be independent.</p> | | | | | |
| Section III: Budget & Supportive Services | | | | | |
| <p>A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for (0) days, (30) days, and (90) days after grant award.</p> | | | | | |
| Section IV: Financial Information | | | | | |
| <p>A. Project is cost-effective - comparing projected cost per person served to CDFI coverage within project type.</p> <p>B. Audit:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices 2. Most recent audit identified agency as "low risk" 3. Most recent audit indicates no findings <p>C. Documented match amount</p> <p>D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable</p> | | | | | |
| Section V: Program Impact | | | | | |
| <p>Estimated Entry Participation - #/s of entries to project from CDFI referrals</p> | | | | | |
| Section VI: Supportive Services | | | | | |
| <p>Supportive Service Funds used</p> <p>Borrower funds</p> <p>Revised Cost Per Bed</p> <p>Percentage of Bed Dedicated to CDFI</p> <p>Revised Cost per Participant</p> <p>Target Disbursement Registration</p> <p>Cost Disbursement Registered?</p> | | | | | |
| <p>Other and Total Estimated Costs</p> <p>Other and Total Actual Costs</p> <p>Approved Housing Costs</p> | | | | | |
| Section VII: Financial Information | | | | | |
| <p>CDFI Funding requested</p> <p>Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)</p> <p>Amount of private funding</p> <p>Total Project Cost</p> | | | | | |
| <p>NOTE: A PDF file can be generated from this assessment tool.</p> | | | | | |

| RATING RESULTS | |
|--|-------|
| Score achieved: | _____ |
| Score required to pass: | _____ |
| Passing score required to be off: | _____ |
| Passing score required to be on: | _____ |
| * Test requirements can be found at www.ets.org . | |

